crystallization papers

Acta Crystallographica Section D Biological Crystallography

ISSN 0907-4449

Hui-Woog Choe,^a* Kyo Sun Park,^a Jörg Labahn,^b Joachim Granzin,^b Cheol-Ju Kim^a and Georg Büldt^b

^aDepartment of Chemistry, College of Natural Science, Chonbuk National University, 561-756 Chonju, South Korea, and ^bInstitut für Biologische Informationsverarbeitung, Forschungszentrum Jülich, D-52425 Jülich, Germany

Correspondence e-mail: hwchoe@moak.chonbuk.ac.kr

Crystallization and preliminary X-ray diffraction studies of *a*-cyclodextrin glucanotransferase isolated from *Bacillus macerans*

Single crystals of α -cyclodextrin glucanotransferase isolated from *Bacillus macerans* have been grown with polyethylene glycol 6000 as a precipitating agent by sitting-drop vapour diffusion at room temperature. The crystals were suitable for X-ray analysis and diffracted to at least 2.0 Å (space group $P2_12_12_1$), with unit-cell parameters a = 66.79 (2), b = 79.66 (1), c = 141.16 (1) Å. Assuming the asymmetric cell to be occupied by a monomer of 74 kDa, the unit cell contains 42.6% solvent with a crystal volume per protein mass, $V_{\rm M}$, of 2.53 Å³ Da⁻¹.

Received 31 July 2002 Accepted 13 November 2002

1. Introduction

Cyclodextrin glucanotransferase (CGTase; EC 2.4.1.19) is an extracellular enzyme which catalyzes the formation of cyclodextrins (CDs) from starch and related α -1,4-glucans by intramolecular transglycosylation in a Ca²⁺-dependent manner (Saenger, 1980). CDs are cyclic oligosaccharides consisting of six, seven or eight glucose units (G6, G7, G8) and named α -, β - and γ -CDs, respectively. They are able to form inclusion complexes with many organic and inorganic molecules, thereby changing the physical and chemical properties of the included compounds. α -CDs are particularly useful for food-processing and for pharmaceutical applications owing to their higher solubility compared with β -CDs.

Bacillus CGTases have been classified into two types based on which forms of CDs are produced. One type is the *B. macerans* CGTase, which predominantly produces α -CD. The other type is the β -CD-forming CGTase found in both *B. megaterium* and *B. circulans*. The alkalophilic bacillus *B. stearothermophilus* CGTase, which produces α -CD and β -CD, is considered to be intermediate between these two types (Kitahata & Okada, 1982).

The great interest in CGTases for industrial use has promoted substantial work on the structures of these enzymes. Many X-ray structures of the intermediate or β -type and their mutants have been reported (Klein & Schulz, 1991; Lawson *et al.*, 1994; Knegtel *et al.*, 1995, 1996; Strokopytov *et al.*, 1996; Schmidt *et al.*, 1998; Mosi *et al.*, 1998; Penninga *et al.*, 1995; Wind *et al.*, 1998; Uitdehaag *et al.*, 1999; Ishii *et al.*, 2000).

The structure of the earliest known and biochemically well characterized α -specific CGTase from *B. macerans* (Saenger, 1980; Terada *et al.*, 2001) will considerably improve

understanding of the differences between CGTases and their substrate spectra. Here, we report the crystallization of the typically α -CD-producing CGTase from *B. macerans*, which has a molecular weight of 74 kDa as a monomer (687 amino-acid residues).

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Purification of CGTase from B. macerans

CGTase was isolated from B. macerans strain IFO 3490 according to the modified method of Stavn & Granum (1979). Isolated colonies were aerobically cultivated in a shaking incubator (280 rev min⁻¹) at 310 K in 500 ml medium (1% soluble starch, 0.5% polypeptone, 0.5% yeast extract, 0.1% KH2PO4.H2O, 0.02% MgSO4.7H2O and 0.03% CaCl₂.2H₂O pH 7.0) for 2 d. Cells were removed by centrifugation (30 min at 10 000g; 277 K). Crude extract from the B. macerans culture medium was precipitated with 35-60% saturated ammonium sulfate and centrifuged at 20 000 rev min⁻¹ for 10 min at 277 K. The pellets were dissolved in 10 mM sodium/ potassium phosphate buffer pH 7.2 and exhaustively dialyzed against the same buffer before being loaded onto a DEAE-cellulose column (2.6 \times 25 cm). The enzyme was eluted with a potassium chloride gradient and dialyzed thoroughly against 10 mM Tris-HCl buffer pH 7.2 containing 5 mM CaCl₂. For further purification, a Superdex G-200 column $(1.6 \times 95 \text{ cm})$ was used and the active fractions were pooled. The purity was checked by SDS-PAGE.

2.2. Assay of CGTase functionality

The activity of CGTase was determined by the analysis of the CDs produced using high-



Figure 1 Orthorhombic crystals of CGTase grown with polyethylene glycol 6000. The approximate dimensions of the crystals shown in the figure are $0.3 \times 0.3 \times$ 0.4 mm.

performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) as described by Bender (1981). For this, 100 µl of purified enzyme solution (1.0 mg ml^{-1}) was incubated with 500 µl of 3% soluble starch (10 mM Tris-HCl, 5 mM CaCl₂ pH 7.2) for 3 min at 310 K. The reaction was stopped by boiling (5 min at 373 K) and the linear oligoglucoses were separated by methanol precipitation [1:1(v/v)]. After centrifugation (3 min; 13 000 rev min⁻¹; Eppendorf), the filtrates were analyzed by HPLC (using a Waters μ -Bondapak/carbohydrate column; 7.5 \times 30 cm); the eluent was 65% acetonitrile in H_2O (the flow rate was 0.6 ml min⁻¹). The elution peaks were calibrated with pure α -, β - and γ -CD, respectively.

2.3. Crystallization of CGTase from *B. macerans*

For crystallization, the purified enzyme (15-20 mg per 101 culture medium) was dialyzed against 100 mM PIPES buffer pH 7.0 containing 150 mM NaCl and 5 mM CaCl₂. The protein was concentrated to 20 mg ml^{-1} by ultrafiltration using a YM 30 membrane (Amicon). A rapid initial screening of crystallization conditions was conducted using a sparse matrix as described by Jancarik & Kim (1991). For this, 2-4 µl protein droplets (20 mg ml^{-1}) were used with the Hampton Research crystallization kit. Protein solutions were equilibrated against 1 ml of reservoir solution. Large single crystals were grown by the sittingdrop method (droplet size 20 µl).

3. Results and discussions

The purified CGTase from *B. macerans* was highly active (data not shown) and was

Table 1

Data-collection statistics of CGTase crystals.

Values in parentheses are for the highest resolution shell.

Resolution (Å)	70.7-2.0 (2.06-2.01)
Total reflections	266119 (9056)
Unique reflections	49570 (2817)
Redundancy	5.4 (3.2)
Completeness (%)	98.1 (77.5)
$I/\sigma(I)$	9.1 (5.1)
R_{merge} † (%)	5.8 (14.2)

† $R_{\text{merge}} = \sum |I - \langle I \rangle| / \sum I$, where $\langle I \rangle$ is mean intensity.

found to be homogeneous by SDS-PAGE. In four conditions out of the 50 original sparse-matrix screen sets (Hampton Research; Nos. 1, 14, 24 and 46), white precipitation appeared within a day. These sets contained Ca2+ ions. They were rescreened by systematically varying the concentration of protein $(10-25 \text{ mg ml}^{-1})$, the calcium concentration (5-10 mM), the buffer (PIPES, Tris-HCl; 50-100 mM) and the precipitating agents (MPD, PEG 4K, 6K, 8K) and their concentrations [15-30%(w/v)]. To investigate the effect of Ca²⁺ ions, all rescreens were set up with and without Ca²⁺ ions. Ca²⁺ ion-containing sets always displayed white precipitates, whereas in experiments without Ca²⁺ ions the initial white precipitate changed colour to a light yellow over several weeks. In these cases, the protein were found to be denatured.

After stepwise refinement of the crystallization conditions, the best single crystals were obtained by sitting-drop vapour diffusion with 20-25%(w/v) polyethylene glycol 6000 as a precipitating agent in 100 mM PIPES buffer pH 7.0 containing 150 mM NaCl and 5 mM CaCl₂ at room temperature. In the absence of Ca²⁺ ions, crystals were not obtained under any conditions tested. Microcrystals first appeared within a month and continued to grow to their maximum size in about two weeks. Fully grown crystals typically had dimensions of $0.3 \times 0.3 \times$ 0.4 mm (Fig. 1).

A single crystal was mounted in a capillary. X-ray data were collected with a MAR Research image-plate scanner using a rotating-anode generator (Enraf–Nonius) operating at 45 kV and 60 mA. The datacollection statistics are shown in Table 1. The crystals are orthorhombic, belonging to the space group $P2_12_12_1$, with unit-cell parameters a = 66.79 (2), b = 79.66 (1), c = 141.16 (1) Å, and diffract to 2.0 Å. They contain one molecule in the asymmetric unit (solvent content 42.6%) and the crystal volume per protein mass, $V_{\rm M}$, is 2.53 Å³ Da⁻¹. These values are within the commonly observed range for globular protein crystals (Matthews, 1968).

The CGTases are known to form a topologically well conserved class of enzymes. Therefore, structure solution by molecular replacement should be possible.

This work was supported by the Ministry of Science and Technology of Korea.

References

- Bender, H. (1981). Anal. Biochem. 114, 158–162. Ishii, N., Haga, K., Yamane, K. & Harata, K.
- (2000). J. Biochem. **127**, 383–391. Jancarik, J. & Kim, S.-H. (1991). J. Appl. Cryst. **24**, 409–411.
- Kitahata, S. & Okada, S. (1982). J. Jpn Starch Sci. 29, 13–18.
- Klein, C. & Schulz, G. E. (1991). J. Mol. Biol. 217, 737–750.
- Knegtel, R. M., Strokopytov, B., Penninga, D., Faber, O. G., Rozeboom, H. J., Kalk, K. H., Dijkhuzen, L. & Dijkstra, B. W. (1995). *J. Biol. Chem.* 270, 29256–29264.
- Knegtel, R. M., Wind, R. D., Rozeboom, H. J., Kalk, K. H., Buiterlaar, R. M., Dijkhuzen, L. & Dijkstra, B. W. (1996). *J. Mol. Biol.* 256, 611– 622.
- Lawson, C. L., van Montfort, R., Strokopytov, B., Rozeboom, H. J., Kalk, K. H., de Vries, G. E., Penninga, D., Dijkhuzen, L. & Dijkstra, B. W. (1994). J. Mol. Biol. 236, 590–600.
- Matthews, B. W. (1968). J. Mol. Biol. 33, 491-497.
- Mosi, R., Sham, H., Uitdehaag, J. C., Ruiterkamp, R., Dijkstra, B. W. & Withers, S. G. (1998). *Biochemistry*, **49**, 17192–17198.
- Penninga, D., Strokopytov, B., Rozeboom, H. J., Lawson, C. L., Dijkstra, B. W., Bergsma, J. & Dijkhuzen, L. (1995). *Biochemistry*, **10**, 3368– 3376.
- Saenger, W. (1980). Angew. Chem. 19, 344-362.
- Schmidt, A. K., Gottarz, S., Driguez, H. & Schulz,
 G. E. (1998). *Biochemistry*, 17, 5909–5915.
- Stavn, A. & Granum, P. E. (1979). *Carbohydr. Res.* **75**, 243–250.
- Strokopytov, B., Knegtel, R. M., Penninga, D., Rozeboom, H. J., Kalk, K. H., Dijkhuzen, L. & Dijkstra, B. W. (1996). *Biochemistry*, **13**, 4241– 4249.
- Terada, Y., Sanbe, H., Takaha, T., Kitahata, S., Koisumi, K. & Okada, S. (2001). Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 67, 1453–1460.
- Uitdehaag, J. C., Kalk, K. H., van der Veen B. A., Dijkhuizen, L. & Dijkstra, B. W. (1999). J. Biol. Chem. 274, 34868–34876.
- Wind, R. D., Uitdehaag, J. C., Buiterlaar, R. M., Dijkstra, B. W. & Dijkhuzen, L. (1998). J. Biol. Chem. 273, 5771–5779.